IN THE SPECIFICATION

At page 1, line 11, please enter the following:

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application	on is a continuation of serial number 09/845,	776, filed	April 30, 200	1, now
U.S. Patent No.	, which is incorporated herein by refe	erence.		
On page 8, line 25, pl	ease add:			
Fig. 10 is a g	raph of predicted productivity of sorption pr	umps acco	ording to the	present
invention when tested	l under specified benchmark conditions.			
Replace the paragrap	h beginning on page 18, line 13 with the follo	wing rewr	itten paragrap	h:
Fig. 1a illustr	rates a simplified schematic of an adsorption	n process_2	2. Feed gas is	s fed in

through tube 4 and valve 6, through inlet 7 into adsorbent layer 8. Simultaneously with passing a gas through layer 8, a coolant flows through valve 9 and then through heat exchanger 10 which removes heat from adsorbent layer 8. Cooling is necessary because more gas is adsorbed at low temperatures and because adsorption generates heat. Gas that is not adsorbed in the adsorption layer passes out through outlet 12 and valve 14. At the end of the adsorption cycle, feed gas is shut off.

Replace the paragraph beginning On page 37, line 7 as follows:

The Productivity Graph (see Fig. 10 below) shows the productivity of several sorption devices of the current invention using a set of benchmark conditions. These include: (a) adsorbent channels filled with clean, dry zeolite 13x particulate to a density of ~0.67-g zeolite/mL channel; (b) CO₂ adsorbed to equilibrium at 760 mm Hg and 5 °C by flowing a 5 °C heat transfer fluid through the heat exchange channels and pure CO₂ through the adsorbent per Figure 1a; and (c) desorption of CO₂ at a pressure of 760 mm Hg resulting from the flow of 90 °C heat transfer fluid through the heat exchange channels (limiting the desorption temperature) per Figure 1b. A further constraint on this test, is that the productivity is defined for a single desorption of the device occurring in 1 minute (or less) from the time the high temperature heat exchange fluid starts flowing through the sorption unit or heating is initiated. Therefore, the productivity results in the Productivity Graph represent the mass of CO₂ desorbed in a single desorption per unit volume of sorption pump structure subject to the constraints given above. The theoretical maximum mass of CO₂ desorbed under these conditions can be estimated using Equation (2) and the volume and density (or mass) of adsorbent contained in the device. As noted previously, the actual working CO₂ production of such operations may be less than 100% owing to various factors such as partial loading of the adsorbent with water vapor. Maximum productivity would also not be attained if the sorbent did not reach the temperature of the heat exchange fluid. Accounting for this type of inefficiency, we have applied an efficiency factor of 0.85 in our calculations, a factor we expect to meet or exceed in routine operations. The results in the Productivity Graph are 85% of the maximum theoretical productivity.

On page 39, delete lines 1-4 including the graphic and graphic caption.